



New Therapeutic Strategies in the Treatment of Stomal Recurrence After Total Laryngectomy:

Role of Immunotherapy

Salvatore Allosso^{1*}; Rosa Maria di Crescenzo^{2*}; Silvia Varricchio²; Giovanni Motta³; Massimo Mesolella¹

^{*}The authors contributed equally

¹Unit of Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, University Federico II of Naples, 80131 Naples, Italy

² Pathology Unit, Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University of Naples "Federico II", Naples, Italy.

³ Unit of Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Mental and Physical Health and Preventive Medicine, University Luigi Vanvitelli, 80131 Naples, Italy

OBJECTIVES

The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of stoma recurrence and the therapeutic strategy outcomes in relation to survival that have been adopted over the past few decades using a monoclonal antibody, specifically nivolumab.

METHODS

This study included a total of 487 patients diagnosed with laryngeal carcinoma undergoing either a laryngectomy or salvage surgery after conservative interventions at the ENT Unit of Federico II University in Naples, Italy, between 2011 and 2021. Following a minimum 2.5-year follow-up and a maximum 21-year follow-up, the results revealed that only 38 patients suffered a stomal recurrence.

GRAPHS AND TABLES

Table 1. Total laryngectomy: Number of cases.

Total laringectomy	N° cases
T.L. d'emblée	377 (77.4%)
T.L. after traditional supraglottic laryngectomy	13 (2.7%)
T.L. after CO2 laser cordectomy	69 (14.2%)
T.L. after traditional cordectomy	13 (2.7%)
T.L. after supraglottic cordectomy with CO2 laser	7 (1.4%)
T.L. after hemilaryngectomy	7 (1.4%)
T.L. after radiotherapy	1 (0.2%)
Total	487 (100%)

Table 2. Subdivision of patients according to pTNM (n = 487; all patients = MO).

	N0	NI	N2	N3	Total
T2	12 (2.5%)	3 (0.6%)	4 (0.1%)	I (0.2%)	20 (4.1%)
Т3	202 (41.5%)	58 (12%)	47 (9.7%)	9 (1.8%)	316 (64.9%)
T4	69 (14%)	35 (7%)	35 (7.2%)	12 (2.5%)	151 (31%)
Total	283 (58.1%)	96 (19.7%)	86 (17.7%)	22 (4.5%)	487 (100%)

Table 3. Subdivision of patient according to stage and grading.

Table 4. Therapeutic strategies for SR and survival in months.

Stage	N° patients
	0 (0%)
II	12 (2.5%)
III	262 (53.8%)
IV	213 (43.7%)
Total	487 (100%)
Grade	N° cases
GI	101 (20.7%)
G2	106 (21.8%)
G3	280 (57.7%)
Total	487 (100%)

Patient N°	Surgery	RT	СТ	Immunotherapy	Survival (months)
I		х			1
2	Х				1
3	Х				3
4		X			15
5	х	х			12
6	Х				14
7		х			3
8		х	х		5
9		х	х		6
10		х			2
11	Х				1
12		х			4
13		х			2
14		х	х		4
15		х			4
16		х			15
17	Х	х			15
18		х			5
19		х			5
20	Х	х			6
21		х			8
22		х			8
23	Х				66 (still living)
24		х			8
25	Х	х			58
26			х		7
27			х		3
28	Х		х		24 (still living)
29		х	х		26
30			х		6
31			х		2
32			х		5
33			х		6
34	х		х		4
35	х		х		11
36	Х		х		13
37			х	х	24 (still living)
38			х		10

Table 5.	Stomal	recurrence	after	surgery.
rabie si	oconna	recurrence	an con	301 Ser J.

Surgery	N° patients	N° SR
T.L. d'emblée	377	20 (5.3%)
T.L. after traditional supraglottic laryngectomy	13	0
T.L. after CO ₂ laser cordectomy	69	14 (20.3%)
T.L. after traditional cordectomy	13	I (7.6%)
T.L. after supraglottic cordectomy with CO ₂ laser	7	I (I4.2%)
T.L. after hemilaryngectomy	7	2 (28.5%)
T.L. after radiotherapy	1	0
Total	487	38 (7.8%)

 $\label{eq:table_formula} \ensuremath{\text{Table 6.}}\xspace \ensuremath{\text{Incidence of stomal recurrence in relation to the tumor}\xspace \ensuremath{\text{stable 6.}}\xspace \ensuremath{\text{Incidence of stomal recurrence in relation to the tumor}\xspace \ensuremath{\text{stable 6.}}\xspace \ensuremath{\text{st$

T site	Stomal recurrence	e T3	T4
Pharingo-laringeal	3 (7.9%)	0 (0%)	3 (15 %)
Supraglottic	0 (0%)	4 (22 %)	3 (15 %)
Glottic	7 (18.4%)	11(61%)	8 (40%)
Glottic-hypoglottic	19 (50%)	3 (17%)	6 (30%)
Hypoglottic	9 (23.7%)	18 (100 %)	20 (100%)
Total	38 (100%)	Т3	T4



Figure 1. (A-D): Stomal recurrence before and during immunotherapy.

RESULTS

Despite various adopted treatment strategies, the literature reports lower patient survival rates. Following a total laryngectomy, stomal recurrence represents a therapeutic management challenge due to a poor prognosis for nearly every treated case. According to the literature, in fact, despite a low incidence (ie, 0.8–31.3%), the overall mortality rate increases from 77% to 100% after three years. Nevertheless, introducing immunotherapy into cancer treatment has resulted in an observable revolution in the treatment of different types of cancers over the years. Conclusion: In light of recorded data on survival following the use of the nivolumab, the case presented in this study allows a new perspective of successfully treating recurrences of squamous carcinoma of the head and neck.

CONCLUSION

In light of our findings, it is evident that conservative surgery, subglottic involvement by primary neoplasm, an advanced T stage, and an emergency tracheotomy were all statistically associated with peristomal relapses, the prognosis for which is decidedly dismal, even when the most precise therapeutic measures currently available; as such, it is essential that preventive measures are implemented at the time of the primary tumor intervention. In the event of inevitable risk factors in this context, immunotherapy leads to new therapeutic possibilities, especially when surgery and chemotherapy fail, and a standardized protocol with stringent eligibility requirements is needed. The survival data obtained with nivolumab in the present case provides a new perspective on the possibility of successfully treating SCCHN recurrences, even in the rare-but-ominous event of a peristomal recurrence.

REFERENCES

1. Ferris RL, Blumenschein G, Fayette J, et al. Nivolumab for recurrent squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. New Engl J Med. 2016;375:1856-1867.

2. Allegra E, Bianco MR, Ralli M, Greco A, Angeletti D, de Vincentiis M. Role of clinical-demographic data in survival rates of advanced laryngeal cancer. Medicina (Kaunas). 2021;57(3): 267.

3. Sisson GA, Byte DE, Edison BE, Yeh S. Transtemal radical neck dissection for control of stomal recurrence and results. Laryngoscope. 1975;85:1504-1510.

4. Bolla A, Scolari R. Le recidive peristomali del cancro laringeo. Minerva Otorinolaring. 1967;17:157-169.

5. Balm AJM, Snow GB, Karim AEM, Versluis RJJ, Njo KH, Tiwari RM. Long term result of concurrent Polychemotherapy and radiotherapy in patient with stornai recurrence after total laryngectomy. Arch Otol. 1986;95:572-574.

 Liang J, Zhu X, Zeng W, Yu T, Fang F, Zhao Y. Which risk factors are associated with stomal recurrence after total laryngectomy for laryngeal cancer? A meta-analysis of the last 30 years. Brazil J Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;86:502-512.
Ampil F, Ghali, Caldito G, et al. Post-laryngectomy stomal cancer recurrences, re-treatment decisions and outcomes. Case Series. 2009;37:349-351.

8. Bossi P, Miceli R, Locati L, et al. A randomized, phase 2 study of cetuximab plus cisplatin with or without paclitaxel for the firstline treatment of patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Ann Oncol.2017;28:2820-2826.

9. Qiao X, Jiang J, Pang X, et al. The evolving landscape of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway in head and neck cancer. Front Immunol. 2020; 11:1721.

10. Theodoraki M-N, Yerneni SS, Hoffmann TK, Gooding WE, Whiteside TL. Clinical significance of PD-L1+ exosomes in plasma of Head and Neck Cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2018; 24(4):896-905.

11. Yearley J, Mogg R, Ayers M, et al. Pan-tumor genomic biomarkers for PD-1 checkpoint blockade-based immunotherapy. Science. 2018;362:6411.

12. Schoenfeld JD, Gjini E, Rodig SJ, et al. Evaluating the PD-1 axis and immune effector cell infiltration in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Phys. 2018;102(1): 137-145.

13. Blumenschein G, Fayette J, Guigay J, et al. Nivolumab vs investigator's choice in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: 2-year long-term survival update of CheckMate 141 with analyses by tumor PD-L1 expression. Oral Oncol. 2018;81:45-51.

14. Mesolella M, Iorio B, Landi M, et al. Overexpression of chromatin assembly factor-1/p60 predicts biological behaviour of laryngeal carcinomas. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2017; 37(1):17-24.

15. Ricciardiello F, Caraglia M, Iorio B, et al. Aggressiveness pattern and second primary tumor risk associated with basaloid squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx. Oncotarget. 2017;8(56):95791-95798. 16. Vasca V, Vasca E, Freiman P, et al. Keratin 5 expression in squamocellular carcinoma of the head and neck. Oncol Lett. 2014;8(6):2501-2504.

17. Mesolella M, Di Martino M, Laguardia M, Galera F, Galli V. Angiolipoma of the larynx. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007; 136(1):142-143.